

Editorial

Enhancing nuclear and radiological emergency management and rehabilitation: Key results of the EURANOS European project

Background

When setting up the concept and the content of the EURANOS (European Approach to Nuclear and Radiological Emergency Management and Rehabilitation Strategies) project in 2003/2004, it was obvious, that in the past 17 years since the Chernobyl accident, considerable resources have been devoted in Europe and elsewhere to improve the management of off-site consequences of nuclear emergencies and the rehabilitation of contaminated territories. Significant progress has been achieved in the information technological (IT) and methodological areas of emergency management and response through national initiatives and with support of the EC under its 4th and 5th Framework Programmes (FP4 and FP5). Many RTD (Research and Technological Development) tools were available for operational use by those with responsibility for emergency management and their application in practice. Prominent examples were the installation of gamma monitoring systems in Eastern and Western European countries, the collection and evaluation of their data under EURDEP (EUropean Radiological Data Exchange Platform), the further development and installation of the decision support systems ARGOS and RODOS in many Eastern and Western European countries, and the development of tools and methods for exchanging data and information between neighbouring countries.

As far as the long term rehabilitation of contaminated rural, urban or industrial areas is concerned the situation was less advanced. Most efforts during FP4 and FP5 were devoted to comprehensive analysis and evaluation of countermeasures using the extensive experience in the contaminated territories of the FSU (Former Soviet Union). Although not yet complete, this work constituted a common European basis for any further developments concerning both the decision support systems for planning the long term management of affected territories and the rehabilitation strategies to be implemented at the local, regional and national levels of affected areas. Beyond these developments, the issues raised by the long term rehabilitation of living conditions for the population in contaminated territories had not received much attention and many questions remained unresolved. The only original experience resulted from the ETHOS project that took place from 1996 to 2001 in the contaminated territories of Belarus with the support of the European Commission. This project demonstrated that, in addition to the top-down approach that was implemented during the early, late and long-term rehabilitation phases, a decentralised approach, relying on the involvement of all affected stakeholders is

not only possible but necessary, to improve the quality of the whole rehabilitation process.

Beyond the maintenance of the present state of knowledge and competence achieved through training, the main aim of the EURANOS project was to improve the coherence and the effectiveness of the methods, strategies, guidance and tools developed so far and to demonstrate their operational use. A further objective was to favour the progressive building of a common emergency management and rehabilitation culture in Europe. Emergency management and response as well as rehabilitation strategies, in the case of a radiological accident in Europe was still characterised by largely national solutions in both technical and administrative/political areas. Furthermore, infrastructures and technical solutions for monitoring, decision support and information exchange still showed a large variation in equipment and software between Member States. The level of involvement of relevant members of society and the availability of information of the general population was also very different from one country to another.

To overcome these deficiencies and to increase the efficacy and coherence in nuclear and radiological emergency management and rehabilitation response in Europe, the following issues needed to be tackled within EURANOS:

- any nuclear accident in Europe has a cross-border dimension, which forces neighbouring countries to closely interact by using consistent and widely accepted procedures, methods and techniques;
- given present and likely future resource constraints, there is a necessity to make better use of the European effort for collective benefit;
- there is a need to take steps for better maintaining knowledge and competence through more integrated and joint actions, in particular, as accidents occur very rarely;
- recently developed RTD methods, tools, procedures and guidance have not yet been fully exploited and an increased effort is needed to promote their better take up through demonstration projects;
- additional RTD is needed in a few critical areas, and – in particular – in solving the longer term problems following an emergency such as the restoration of the affected territories and rehabilitation of living conditions for those groups of the population who have decided to reside in these areas.

Realisation

The European dimension of the project could only be achieved with a sufficiently large number of partners. Furthermore, having identified the end users in the operational emergency management centres as one important target audience of the project, a mix of partners from research and the operational community was

EDITORIAL

essential. This has been realised with 50 partners from 23 European countries combining 33 research organisations with 17 national emergency management centres. The five year project ran from 2004-2009.

The project was structured according to the areas that were identified as most requiring development, *i.e.*

- demonstration activities (end users perspective);
- research activities with three major categories of work;
 - CAT1: all activities related to emergency actions and countermeasures;
 - CAT2: all activities related to the further enhancement of decision support systems for operational application;
 - CAT3: all activities related to rehabilitation strategies and guidance.
- training and dissemination;
- management (through a Management Committee).

The continual input and feedback from the end user community was an essential component of the project. Consequently, about 20% of the total budget was not initially allocated. This allowed the Management Committee to be flexible to respond to the end users' recommendations and to restructure the work program accordingly. Prominent result of this flexibility was the re-engineering of the RODOS system based on demands of the operational centres.

Structure of the special issue

The objective of this special issue is to present the major achievements of the EURANOS project. Therefore, the structure follows the major work packages identified when setting up the project. This results in sections dealing with

- handbooks (2 papers);
- modelling (10 papers);
- improvement of Decision Support systems (5 papers);
- long term rehabilitation (3 papers);
- demonstrations (3 papers).

Starting with this editorial, the first paper presents an overview, summarising the main achievements of EURANOS. In the Handbook section, the first paper describes in detail the set of compendia and handbooks developed for food production systems, inhabited areas and drinking water resources. The second paper here provides strategies related to the lifting of early emergency countermeasures. This comprises a European wide review on procedures and recommendations when to and how to lift early phase countermeasures, an area that is often not considered adequately in national regulations.

EDITORIAL

The modelling sections starts with an overview about the major achievements in the simulation models either newly developed or improved. Papers about ERMIN and AgriCP describe the new countermeasure simulation models for inhabited areas and food production systems, respectively. Both contain new features that make them unique in Europe. Four papers deal with atmospheric transport and dispersion modelling. One of them describes the new particle model DIPCOT that allows the application of RODOS also in highly structured terrain. A further one presents the improvements made for the long range dispersion model Match. The other two papers present comparison and validation exercises performed with the three dispersion models of RODOS and a comparison exercise between locally monitored weather data and predicted data. The latter demonstrated that there is always a difference between local and predicted data that is important with respect to the wind direction. This information is extremely important for selecting areas of countermeasures. The new hydrological model chain is described in one paper followed by achievements realised in the area of data assimilation and evaluation strategies; the latter paper demonstrates its importance in the later phase of an emergency.

The section dealing with general improvements of decision support systems focused on the operability aspects of ARGOS and RODOS: in particular guidance for data assimilation features; the application of EURANOS simulation modules in the ARGOS system, the newly developed user friendly input interface of RODOS and finally the re-engineered RODOS system. All these papers demonstrate the importance of the end users' perspective that was continuously monitored by the EURANOS Management Committee and implemented in those activities.

The long term rehabilitation section describes the basics of the new European Framework for post-accident rehabilitation preparedness, its implementation in France and Norway and the experience gained with the application of EURANOS products – here the RODOS system – at the local level for investigations on rehabilitation preparedness in particular, as well as preparedness for all phases of an emergency.

The final section of this special issue deals with the demonstration projects that were carried out in the EURANOS project. There is a summary paper describing the main demonstrations and individual papers relating to the demonstration of the handbooks and the MOIRA system. These two papers describe in more detail the planning, performance and evaluation of a demonstration project.

Acknowledgement

The editors would like to thank the representatives of the European Commission supervising the EURANOS project for their continuous support and engagement.

EDITORIAL

We also would like to thank the members of the Management Committee namely:

- Joachim Ehrhardt (coordinator for the first year of the project);
- Florian Gering;
- Jacques Lochard;
- Mary Morrey (moved after a year to the Advisory Committee);
- Anne Nisbet;
- Wolfgang Raskob;
- Vera Starostova;
- Bojan Tomic.

for their commitment to the project and their never ending attempts to find optimal solutions for the sake of the project.

The editors would like to thank the members of the Advisory Committee providing advice not only to issues of the project but to important aspects of emergency management and rehabilitation in general:

- Hannele Aaltonen;
- G. Neale Kelly;
- Ted Lazo;
- Mary Morrey (partly);
- André Oudiz;
- Carlos Rojas-Palma
- Vesa Tanner
- Wolfgang Weiss

The editors would like to thank in particular all those participated in the project from the following organisations:

Participants name	Short name	Country
Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (former Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe GmbH)	KIT (FZK)	Germany
Belgian Nuclear Research Centre	SCK-CEN	Belgium
Centre d'étude sur l'évaluation de la protection dans le domaine nucléaire	CEPN	France
Health Protection Agency (before April 2005: National Radiological Protection Board)	HPA (NRPB)	United Kingdom
Enconet Consulting Ges.m.b.H.	ENCONET	Austria
GSF-Forschungszentrum für Umwelt und Gesundheit GmbH	GSF	Germany
Radiation and Nuclear Safety Authority	STUK	Finland
VUJE Trnava a.s.	VUJE	Slovak Republic
Nuclear Regulatory Authority of the Slovak Republic	UJD	Slovak Republic
Gesellschaft für Anlagen- und Reaktorsicherheit (GRS) m.b.H.	GRS	Germany

EDITORIAL

Participants name	Short name	Country
National Nuclear Corporation Limited	NNC	United Kingdom
European Commission – Directorate General Joint Research Centre	EC-DG JRC	Italy
RISOE National Laboratory	RISO	Denmark
Bundesamt für Strahlenschutz	BfS	Germany
Niedersächsischer Landesbetrieb für Wasserwirtschaft, Küsten und Naturschutz	NLWKN	Germany
National Centre for Scientific Research DEMOKRITOS	NCSR	Greece
Danish Emergency Management Agency	DEMA	Denmark
Prolog Development Center A/S	PDC	Denmark
ENVIROWARE srl	Envware	Italy
Aristotle University of Thessaloniki	AUTH	Greece
The University of Ioannina	UOI	Greece
Universität Karlsruhe (TH)	UniKarl	Germany
Institut de radioprotection et de surété nucléaire	IRSN	France
Natural Environment Research Council	CEH	United Kingdom
Nuclear Research and Consultancy Group	NRG	The Netherlands
Institut national agronomique Paris-Grignon	INAPG	France
Norges Landbrukshogskole	NLH	Norway
Statens stralevern	NRPA	Norway
Austrian Federal Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, Environment and Water Management	BMLFUW	Austria
Division de la Radioprotection – Ministère de la Santé	MSLux	Luxemburg
Rijksinstituut voor Volksgezondheid en Milieu	RIVM	The Netherlands
Instituto do Ambiente	IA	Portugal
Consejo de Seguridad Nuclear	CSN	Spain
Nuclear Research Institute Rez plc	UJV Rez	Czech Republic
Scientific Production Association TYPHOON	SPA TYPHOON	Russia
Kungliga Tekniska Högskolan	KTH	Sweden
Slovenian Nuclear Safety Administration	SNSA	Slovenia
Universidad Politécnica de Madrid	UPM	Spain
Centro de Investigaciones Energeticas Medioambientales y Tecnologicas	CIEMAT	Spain
Institute of Atomic Energy	IAE	Poland
National Atomic Energy Agency	NAEA	Poland

EDITORIAL

Participants name	Short name	Country
VEIKI – Institute for Electric Power Research Co.	VEIKI	Hungary
ENEA – Ente per le Nuove tecnologie l’Energia e l’Ambiente	ENEA	Italy
Studsvik RadWaste AB	STUDSVIK	Sweden
Mutadis Consultants	MUTADIS	France
State Office for Nuclear Safety	SUJB	Czech Republic
Ministry of the Interior – National Directorate for Disaster Management	NDGDM	Hungary
Sveriges Meteorologiska och Hydrologiska Institut	SMHI	Sweden
Nationale Alarmzentrale – National Emergency Operations Centre	NAZ - NEOC	Switzerland
Ukrainian Center for Environmental and Water Projects	UCEWP	Ukraine
National Institute of R&D for Physics and Nuclear Engineering Romania	NIPNE	Romania

The editors would like to thank the authors for their contribution to this special issue.

This work has received partial financial support from the European Commission Sixth Framework Programme (Nuclear Fission/Radiation Protection) under the EURANOS integrated project: European approach to nuclear and radiological emergency management and rehabilitation strategies (Contract No: FI6R-CT-2004-508843).